metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Sarah A. Barnett, Alexander J. Blake,* Neil R. Champness and Claire Wilson

School of Chemistry, The University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, England

Correspondence e-mail: a.j.blake@nottingham.ac.uk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.034 wR factor = 0.082 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

trans-Bis(acetonitrile)tetraaquacobalt(II) dinitrate at 150 K

In the title compound, $[Co(CH_3CN)_2(H_2O)_4](NO_3)_2$, the Co^{II} cations occupy crystallographic inversion centres and adopt a distorted octahedral coordination geometry, with *trans*disposed acetonitrile ligands. Extensive hydrogen-bonding interactions between the coordinated water ligands and the nitrate counter-anions lead to the formation of a threedimensional framework. There are substantial differences between the structure of the title compound at 150 K and the previously reported structure at 293 K.

Comment

The title compound, (I), isolated during our studies of hydrogen-bonded frameworks formed by simple aqua-metal complexes (Blake et al., 2001), exists as an air-stable orange solid. An X-ray study confirmed the stoichiometry of the compound (Fig. 1). The Co^{II} centre occupies an octahedral environment with the MeCN ligands adopting a trans arrangement. Extensive hydrogen-bonding interactions are observed between the water molecules and the nitrate anions (Fig. 2), leading to the formation of a three-dimensional hydrogen-bonded array. Thus, each nitrate anion is hydrogen bonded to four symmetry-equivalent $[Co(CH_3CN)_2(H_2O)_4]^{2+}$ cations, with each nitrate O atom participating in hydrogen bonding. Two of the O atoms accept single hydrogen bonds from two different water-molecule donors, but the other adopts hydrogen bonds from two water molecules which are coordinated to two distinct $[Co(CH_3CN)_2(H_2O)_4]^{2+}$ cations. Each cation forms hydrogen bonds with eight different nitrate anions.



The structure of (I) has been reported previously but was determined at 293 K (Kopylovich *et al.*, 2001), as opposed to 150 K in this study. Comparison of the unit-cell dimensions of the previous and current reports reveals a significant and unexpected lengthening of the unit-cell *b* axis from 12.7715 (8) to 13.029 (3) Å and an increase in the value of the β angle from 117.723 (9) to 119.744 (3)°. The two structures also differ in the degree of observed disorder. Thus, in the previously

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 15 July 2002 Accepted 16 July 2002 Online 25 July 2002

1552 independent reflections

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.041P)^2]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.032$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.0^\circ$

 $h=-9\rightarrow 10$

 $k = -17 \rightarrow 16$

 $l = -10 \rightarrow 10$

+ 0.255P]

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.42 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

1345 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$



Figure 1

View of the $[Co(CH_3CN)_2(H_2O)_4]^{2+}$ cation. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry code: (iv) 2 - x, -y, 2 - z.]

reported structure, one of the aqua ligands is disordered over two sites, resulting in O–Co–O angles of 83.5 (4) and 98.7 (6)°. In the current report, no disorder is observed and an O–Co–O angle of 89.00 (8)° is found. Subtle differences in the hydrogen-bonding arrangement are also observed. Most noticeably, at 293 K, only two of the nitrate O atoms act as hydrogen-bond acceptors, in contrast to the current study where all three O atoms accept hydrogen bonds from water molecules coordinated to cobalt centres.

Experimental

Orange block-shaped crystals of the title compound were grown by cooling a heated solution of $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ in MeCN/toluene.

Crystal data

$D_x = 1.648 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 2057
reflections
$\theta = 3.0-26.3^{\circ}$
$\mu = 1.32 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 150 (2) K
Block, orange
$0.19 \times 0.17 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$



Figure 2

View of the hydrogen-bonding arrangement around the nitrate anion. MeCN molecules have been removed for clarity and hydrogen-bonding interactions are represented by dashed lines. Key: Co cross-hatch, N dotted and O left-hatch. [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, 2 - z; (v) x - 1, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $-\frac{1}{2} + z$; (vi) x - 1, y, z - 1.]

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD areadetector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2001) $T_{\min} = 0.712, T_{\max} = 0.877$ 5625 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$ $wR(F^2) = 0.082$ S = 1.071552 reflections 105 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Co1-O1W Co1-O2W	2.0780 (18) 2.0423 (16)	Co1–N1S	2.1182 (15)
O1W-Co1-O2W O1W-Co1-N1S	89.00 (8) 91.09 (8)	O2W-Co1-N1S	89.05 (6)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1W-H1A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.826 (10)	1.953 (10)	2.769 (2)	169 (2)
$O1W-H1B\cdots O3$	0.835 (10)	1.932 (10)	2.765 (2)	176 (3)
O2W−H2A···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.834 (10)	1.917 (10)	2.751 (2)	178 (3)
$O2W - H2B \cdots O1^{iii}$	0.834 (10)	1.898 (11)	2.724 (2)	171 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, 2 - z; (ii) $1 + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iii) 1 + x, y, 1 + z.

All H atoms were located from a ΔF synthesis; these were then refined either as a rigid H₂O group [O-H 0.85 (1) Å and H···H

1.34 (2) Å] or using a rigid-rotor model in the case of the MeCN H atoms. Displacement parameters for the MeCN H atoms were constrained such that $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$, while those of the water H atoms were refined freely.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT* and *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97 and *PLATON* (Spek, 2002).

The authors thank the EPSRC (UK) for funding.

References

- Blake, A. J., Brett, M. T., Champness, N. R., Khlobystov, A. N., Long, D.-L., Schröder, M. & Wilson, C. (2001). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 2258–2259.
- Bruker (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2001). SMART (Version 5.625), SAINT (Version 6.02a) and SADABS (Version 2.03). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Kopylovich, M. N., Kukushkin, V. Y., Guedes da Silva, M. F. C., Haukka, M., Frausto da Silva, J. J. R. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 1569–1573.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2002). PLATON. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.